

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/069,914 | 05/30/2002 | Lyndell E Kelly | 15317 | 7073 |
| 75 | 90 11/03/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| Leopold Presser | | | COOK, REBECCA | |
| Scully Scott Mu | irply & Presser | | | |
| 400 Garden City Plaza | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Garden City, NY 11530 | | | 1614 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED. 11/02/200 | _ |

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/069,914 | KELLY, LYNDELL E | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Rebecca Cook | 1614 | |

| Bororo are ranning or an representation | Examiner | Aitoill | 1 |
|--|---|---|---|
| | Rebecca Cook | 1614 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 9//19/05 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA | TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW | ANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | '06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | A 1. dofts | 4 07 | مطلحهم سينه شاها |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on 19 September 2005. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements. | i)), or any extension thereof (37 CFI | R 41.37(e)), to avoid | dismissal of the |
| AMENDMENTS 2. M. The assessed amendment(s) filed offer a final rejection. | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | acausa |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | ecause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | 12 50.011, | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | maliant Amandment | (DTOL 224) |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | mpliant Amendment | (F10L-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | timely filed emendme | ant concoling the |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | <u>st</u> be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application is | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | | |
| 13. Other: | · | REBECCA COOK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200 // | vok |
| | | GROUP 1200 // | 11/ |